

Development of Criteria to Define Alternatives



Advisory Committee
August 17, 2005

1

Outline for Discussion

- ◆ **Consideration of Project Objectives**
- ◆ **Process used to develop Range of Final Alternatives**
- ◆ **Criteria that must be considered to define Final Alternatives**
- ◆ **Methodology to obtain input on the criteria**

2

Alternatives will be Developed in a Step-wise Manner

- ◆ **Project objectives as defined in legislation**
- ◆ **Input from Advisory Committee, Work Groups, Science Panel, stakeholders, and public**
- ◆ **Identifying habitat goals/objectives**
- ◆ **Defining range of features to be included in restoration scenarios**
- ◆ **Need to consider criteria to be used to combine features into final range of alternatives**

3

Input from Advisory Committee

- ◆ **At August Advisory Committee Meeting**
 - | Discuss overall Project Objectives
 - | Discuss Potential Criteria
 - | Initial reaction and thoughts
 - | Other criteria that should be considered?
- ◆ **At September Advisory Committee Meeting**
 - | View potential applications of the Criteria
 - | Decide upon Criteria to be used to develop reasonable range of final alternatives
- ◆ **Final Alternatives presented at October Advisory Committee Meeting**

4

Project Objectives based on Legislation and Input

◆ **In-Sea Habitat**

- Long-term stable aquatic and shoreline habitat for diversity of fish and wildlife
- Stable salinity and elevation
- Comply with CESA/ESA
- Comply with Migratory Bird Treaty Act
- Consistent with QSA

◆ **Other Salton Sea Criteria**

- Continued use of the Sea for agricultural discharge
- Protect geothermal resources

5

Project Objectives - continued

◆ **Water Quality in Salton Sea and Inflows**

- Improve water quality to support habitat and other water uses
- Reduce human health and ecological risks

◆ **Air Quality**

- Eliminate air quality impacts due to restoration plans

◆ **Focus efforts in Salton Sea watershed**

◆ **Consider recreational and economic opportunities**

6

Development of Alternatives

Identify Maximum & Minimum Inflows

Identify Habitat Criteria

- ◆ **Open Sea:** Marine water for fish & fish-eating birds
- ◆ **Shallow Saline Habitat:** Shorebirds & waterbirds
- ◆ **Shallow Freshwater Habitat:** Shorebirds & waterfowl

Select Water Treatment to Protect Habitat and Human Health

Apply Criteria to Configurations & Add Air Quality Management

Inflow Criteria

◆ Average Annual Flows

- | Developed with Working Group
- | Maximum Flows = No Action Alternative = 950,000 af
- | Minimum Flows - based on Variability Confidence Level
 - | 80% Confidence Level = 620,000 af
 - | 90% Confidence Level = 580,000 af
 - | 95% Confidence Level = 530,000 af

◆ Range of Individual Annual Flows

- | Maximum Flows - "Wet Weather Event" = 1,200,000 af
- | Minimum Flows - based on historic patterns

◆ Questions to be determined

- | *Should all Final Alternatives be developed to operate under full range of inflows?*
- | *Should we define a certain set of confidence levels?*

8

Restoration Plans will include Different Habitats

◆ In-Sea habitat considered to enhance habitat along Pacific Flyway

- Open, deep water
- Shallow saline habitat
- Shallow freshwater habitat
- Hypersaline salt sink

◆ Provide continuity between drains for pupfish

◆ Habitat restoration may also include refuges, agricultural areas, & riparian corridors

9

Salton Sea Habitat Criteria

◆ Open, Deep Water

- Provide stable marine salinity to support historical fish and fish-eating birds with stable elevation
- Primary habitat under existing conditions

◆ Shallow Saline Habitat

- Combine freshwater inflows with marine water
- Could mitigate for loss of existing Open, Deep Water

◆ Shallow Freshwater Habitat

- Existing freshwater habitat exist at refuges
- New freshwater habitat could be in Salton Sea
- Must be managed to limit avian disease & vectors

◆ Questions to be determined

- *Should all Final Alternatives (except Gulf of California) include Shallow Saline and Freshwater Habitats?*

10

Water Quality Criteria

- ◆ **Focused on Selenium and Nutrients**

- ◆ **Water treatment of inflows**

- ┆ Reduce eco & human health risk in habitat
- ┆ Reduce loadings to open, deep marine water

- ◆ **Water quality issues in open marine water**

- ┆ Currently developing analyses to determine extent and severity of problems
- ┆ Some water quality problems may not be eliminated for many years following construction

- ◆ **Questions to be determined**

- ┆ *Should all Final Alternatives include water quality management to reduce eco & human health risks?*
- ┆ *Can a Final alternative have some water quality risk?*

11

Apply Criteria to Alternatives with Barrier Configurations

- ◆ **To determine size of Open Marine Water**

- Inflows***

- ***Water use by Shallow Freshwater Habitat***
 - ***Water loss in Treatment Process***
 - ***Water use by Air Quality Management***
 - ***Water use by Shallow Saline Habitat***

- ***Water available for Open Marine Water***

- ◆ **Balance size of Open Marine Water with Brine Pond to maintain marine salinity in Sea**
- ◆ **Iterate with water use by Air Quality Mgt.**

12

Barrier Configurations also Based on Elevation of Open Water

◆ Results will be vary for different elevations

- -228 feet Mean Sea Level (MSL) - Existing
- -230 feet MSL: about 0 to 1/4 mile from Existing
- -235 feet MSL: about 1/2 to 3/4 mile from Existing

◆ Questions to be determined

- *What types of criteria should be considered for stable elevation?*
 - *Minimize separation from existing land uses?*
 - *Maximize separation between land use and habitat?*
 - *Expose lands that could be used for other land uses?*
 - *Minimize pumping facilities?*

13

Extent of Air Quality Management Based on Uses of Exposed Areas

◆ Exposed Areas will occur in all Configurations except Export to Gulf

◆ Potential uses of Exposed Areas

- Placement of Freshwater Reservoir for IID
- Agricultural lands
- Industrial uses - including geothermal production
- Other development potential

◆ Questions to be determined

- *Should the PEIR include any of these uses in the Alternatives? or assume "worst case" and provide for Air Quality Mgt. on all exposed soils?*

14

Evolving Sea Alternative

- ◆ **Determine size/salinity trends for brine pond**

- Inflows*

- *Water use by Shallow Freshwater Habitat*
 - *Water loss in Treatment Process*
 - *Water use by Air Quality Management*
 - *Water use by Shallow Saline Habitat*

- Water flow to brine pond*

- ◆ **Iterate with water use by Air Quality Mgt.**

- ◆ **Questions to be determined**

- *Should shallow water be included and, if so, focused near confluences? refuges? isolated from communities?*

15

Export to the Gulf of California Alternative

- ◆ **Select export/import concept that leads to stable elevation of Open, Marine Water with salinity of 30,000 to 40,000 mg/L (salinity)**

- ◆ **Include treatment for exports to avoid contamination of biota in Upper Gulf**

- Additional treatment following removal of selenium and nutrients to remove biota that could pollute Gulf

- ◆ **Questions to be determined**

- *Is this viable due to the facilities in Mexico with extensive environmental disturbance?*
 - *Should this configuration be included in the Final Alternatives*

16

Comments on Criteria

- ◆ **Use of criteria will reduce the number of alternatives**
- ◆ **Are there other potential criteria that we did not mention?**
- ◆ **Do you have comments?**
- ◆ **Send comments/suggestions via e-mail or Advisory Committee reflector**
 - E-mail: saltonsea@water.ca.gov
 - Reflector: Salton_Sea@water.ca.gov
- ◆ **Bring comments/suggestions to September 20 Advisory Committee Meeting**

17